

# Switching Lemma

Alexander Glazman

St. Petersburg State University

Joint Advanced Student School  
March 25, 2009

# Outline

- ① Definitions:
  - Matchings
  - Language  $L_n$
  - $Trees_S(F)$
- ② Switching lemma.

## Matchings

- Let  $D, R$  be ordered subsets of  $S$  with all elements of  $D$  preceding elements of  $R$  and  $D \cup R = S$ . A matching between  $D$  and  $R$  is a set of mutually disjoint unordered pairs  $\{i, j\}$ , where  $i \in D, j \in R$ .
- A matching covers a vertex  $i$  if  $\{i, j\}$  belongs to the matching for some vertex  $j$ . By  $V(\pi)$  we will denote the vertices covered by  $\pi$ .
- If  $X \subseteq S$ , then  $M(X)$  denotes the set of all matchings  $\pi$  such that  $\pi$  covers  $X$ , but no matching properly contained in  $\pi$  covers  $X$ .
- The set of matchings between  $D$  and  $R$  we shall denote by  $M_n$ .
- Two matchings  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  in  $M_n$  are compatible if  $\pi_1 \cup \pi_2$  is also a matching. In this case we will denote their union by  $\pi_1 \pi_2$ .
- If  $\pi$  is a matching then  $S|\pi = S \setminus V(\pi)$ .

## Language $L_n$

- Let  $|D| = n + 1$  and  $|R| = n$ . The language built from propositional variables  $P_{ij}$  and the constants 0 and 1 using the connectives  $\vee$  and  $\neg$  we shall refer to as  $L_n$ .
- A matching  $\pi$  determines a **restriction**  $\rho_\pi$  of the variables of  $L_n$ : if  $i$  or  $j$  is covered by  $\pi$  then  $\rho_\pi(P_{ij}) = 1$  if  $\{i, j\} \in \pi$ , and  $\rho_\pi(P_{ij}) = 0$  if  $\{i, j\} \notin \pi$ ; otherwise  $\rho_\pi(P_{i,j})$  is undefined.
- If  $F$  is formula of  $L_n$ , and  $\pi \in M_n$ , then we denote by  $F|\pi$  the formula resulting from  $F$  by substituting for the variables in  $F$  the constants representing their value under  $\pi$ .
- Formula  $C$  is a **matching term** if:

$$C = \bigcap_{\{i,j\} \in \pi} P_{ij} = \wedge \pi$$

where  $\pi$  is a matching.

- Formula  $F$  is a **matching disjunction** if  $F = C_1 \vee \dots \vee C_m$ , where  $C_i$  is a matching term for every  $i$ . It is an  **$r$ -disjunction** if all the matching terms have size bounded by  $r$ .

## Matching trees

Let  $|D| = n + 1$  and  $|R| = n$ , where  $S = D \cup R$  and  $D \cap R = \emptyset$ .

The full matching tree for  $S$  over  $S$  is a tree  $T$  satisfying conditions:

- 1 nodes of  $T$  other than the leaves are labeled with vertices in  $S$ ;
- 2 edges of  $T$  are labeled with pairs  $\{i, j\}$ , where  $i \in D$  and  $j \in R$ ;
- 3 if  $p$  is a node of  $T$  then the edge labels on the path from the root of  $T$  to  $p$  determine a matching  $\pi(p)$  between  $D$  and  $R$ ;
- 4  $p$  is labeled with the first node  $i$  in  $X$  not covered by  $\pi(p)$ , and the set  $\{\pi(q) \mid q \text{ a child of } p\}$  consists of all matchings in  $S$  of the form  $\pi(p) \cup \{\{i, j\}\}$  for  $j \in S$ ;

## $Trees_S(F)$

Let  $F = C_1 \vee \dots \vee C_m$  be a matching disjunction over  $S$ . The **canonical matching decision tree** for  $F$  over  $S$ ,  $Trees_S(F)$ , is defined inductively as follows:

- 1 If  $F \equiv 0$  then  $Trees_S(F)$  is a single node labeled 0; if  $F \equiv 1$  then  $Trees_S(F)$  is a single node labeled 1;
- 2 Let  $C$  be the first matching term in  $F$  such that  $C \not\equiv 0$ . Then  $Trees_S(F)$  is constructed as follows:
  - Construct the full matching tree for  $V(C)$  over  $S$ ;
  - Replace each leaf  $\ell$  of the full matching tree for  $V(C)$  by the canonical matching decision tree  $Trees_{S|\pi(\ell)}(F|\pi(\ell))$ .

The **depth** of a tree  $T$  is a maximum length of a branch in  $T$ .

## Code( $r, s$ )

Define  $Code(r, s)$  to be the set of all tables  $k \times r$  with elements just 0 and 1 such that there is no string with all 0, and the number of 1 in the whole table is  $s$ .

Given table  $A$ , define a map from  $\{1, \dots, s\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, r\} \times \{0, 1\}$  as follows:

- 1 Let the first 1 occur in the  $j$ th place. Then  $f(1) = (j, 0)$ .
- 2 Let the  $i$ th 1, where  $i > 1$ , occur in the  $j$ th place in the  $\ell$ th string for some  $\ell$ . Then  $f(i) = (j, b)$ , where  $b = 0$  if the previous 1 occurs in the same string, and  $b = 1$  otherwise.

It is easy to see that this map uniquely determines a table  $A \in Code(r, s)$ . So we get the estimate for the cardinality of  $Code(r, s)$ :

$$|Code(r, s)| \leq (2r)^s.$$

## $\text{Bad}_n^\ell(F, s)$

Let  $|D| = n + 1$  and  $|R| = n$ ,  $S = D \cup R$ . For  $\ell \leq n$  define  $M_n^\ell$ :

$$M_n^\ell = \{\rho \in M_n : \#R|\rho = \ell\}.$$

For  $s > 0$ ,  $F$  a matching disjunction over  $S$ :

$$\text{Bad}_n^\ell(F, s) = \{\rho \in M_n^\ell : |\text{Tree}_{S|\rho}(F|\rho)| \geq s\}.$$

### Theorem

*Switching Lemma. Let  $F$  be an  $r$ -disjunction over  $D \cup R$ ,  $|D| = n + 1$ ,  $|R| = n$ . Let  $l \geq 10$ . If  $r \leq l$  and  $l^4/n \leq 1/10$  then:*

$$\frac{|\text{Bad}_n^\ell(F, 2s)|}{|M_n^\ell|} \leq (11r\ell^4/n)^s.$$



## Proof idea

Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} |M_n^\ell| &= \binom{n}{\ell} (n+1)^{n-\ell} = \frac{n^\ell (n+1)^{n-\ell}}{\ell!} \\ \frac{|M_n^{\ell-j}|}{|M_n^\ell|} &= \frac{n^{\ell-j} (n+1)^{n-\ell+j} \ell!}{(\ell-j)! n^\ell (n+\ell)^{n-\ell}} = \frac{(\ell+1)^{j\ell}!}{(\ell-j)! n^\ell (n-\ell+j)^j} = \\ &= \frac{(\ell+1)^{j\ell^j}}{(n-\ell+j)^j} \leq \left( \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{n-\ell} \right)^j \end{aligned}$$

## Bijection

$$\text{Bad}_n^\ell(F, s) \rightarrow M_n^{\ell-j}$$

$$\text{Bad}_n^\ell(F, s) \rightarrow \bigcup_{s/2 \leq j \leq s} M_n^{\ell-j}$$

### Theorem

Let  $F = C_1 \vee \cdots \vee C_m$  be an  $r$ -disjunction over  $S$ . Then there is a bijection from  $\text{Bad}_n^l(F, s)$  into

$$\bigcup_{s/2 \leq j \leq s} M_n^{l-j} \times \text{Code}(r, j) \times [2l + 1]^s.$$

## Proof

Let  $\rho \in \text{Bad}_n^l(F, s)$ ; choose  $\pi$  to be matching determined by the leftmost path originating in the root of  $\text{Tree}_{S|\rho}(F|\rho)$  that has length  $s$ . Define three sequences by induction:

- 1  $D_1, \dots, D_k$ , a subsequence of  $C_1, \dots, C_m$
- 2  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ , a sequence of restrictions  $\sigma_i \subseteq \delta_i$ , where  $D_i = \wedge \delta_i$ , and  $\rho\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_i \in M_n$ ;
- 3  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k$ , a partition of  $\pi$ , where each  $\pi_i, i < k$ , satisfies the conditions:
  - $\pi_i \in M(V(\sigma_i))$ ;
  - the restriction  $\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_i$  labels a path in  $\text{Tree}_S(F)$ , ending in a boundary node.

## Sequence defining

We have  $\pi_{i-1}, D_{i-1}, \sigma_{i-1}$  and  $\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \neq \pi$ . Since  $\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1}$  labels a path ending in a boundary node, it follows that there must be a term  $D$  in  $F$  so that  $D|\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \neq 0$  and  $D|\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \neq 1$ , for otherwise the path labeled by  $\pi$  would end at that node.

- 1 Define  $D_i$  be the first such term in  $F$ ;
- 2 then define  $\sigma_i$  to be the unique minimal matching so that  $D|\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1}\sigma_i \equiv 1$  (at the end here  $\neq 0$ );
- 3 let  $\pi_i$  be the set of pairs in  $\pi$  that cover vertices in  $V(\sigma_i)$ .

It is easy to verify that  $\rho\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_i \in M_n$ , moreover  $\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1}\sigma_i \dots \sigma_k \in M_n$ .

## Ordering by index

It is convenient to introduce a special ordering of the  $2l + 1$  vertices unset by the restriction  $\rho$ . To avoid confusion between the original ordering and the new ordering, we shall refer to the original ordering as **ordering by size**, and the new order as **ordering by index**.

Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k$ . The index ordering is defined as follows:

- The vertices set by  $\sigma$  are listed:
  - ① first according to the order  $V(\sigma_1) < \dots < V(\sigma_k)$
  - ② then by size
- The remaining vertices unset by  $\rho\sigma$  are listed by size, in the index positions  $2j + 1, \dots, 2l + 1$ , where  $j = |\sigma|$ .

## Bijection: definition

The map  $G(\rho) = \langle G_1(\rho), G_2(\rho), G_3(\rho) \rangle$  is now defined as follows:

- 1  $G_1(\rho) = \rho\sigma$ ;
- 2 For  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $j = 1, \dots, r$  let  $G_2(\rho)_{ij}$  be 1 if  $\sigma_i$  sets the  $j$ th variable of  $D_i$ , and let it be 0, otherwise
- 3 The list  $G_3(\rho) \in [2l + 1]^s$  is defined as follows:
  - List the elements of  $\pi$  according to the index ordering, where for each pair in  $\pi$  the element with lower index determines the position of the pair;
  - From the ordered list of the pairs in  $\pi$ , create a new list by recording for each pair the index of the element in the pair with the higher index. This new list is  $G_3(\rho)$ .

## Bijection: correctness

It is easy to see that  $G(\rho) \in M_n^{l-j} \times \text{Code}(r, j) \times [2l + 1]^s$ , where  $j = |\sigma|$ . For  $i < k$ ,  $\pi_i \in M(V(\sigma_i))$ , so that  $|\sigma_i| \leq |\pi_i| \leq 2|\sigma_i|$ , while for  $i = k$ ,  $|\sigma_i| = |\pi_i|$  holds by construction. Thus  $|\pi|/2 \leq |\sigma| \leq |\pi|$ , that is,  $s/2 \leq j \leq s$ . So it remains to show that  $G$  is a bijection.

## Bijection: proof

How to reconstruct  $\rho$  from  $G(\rho)$ :

- 1 We know  $G(\rho)$  and the  $r$ -disjunction  $F$ ;
- 2 the set of vertices unset by  $\rho\sigma$ ;
- 3 Induction by  $i$ . We know  $D_1, \dots, D_{i-1}$ ,  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{i-1}$ ,  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{i-1}$  and  $\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1}\sigma_i \dots \sigma_k$ .
- 4 If  $C_j$  occurs earlier in  $F$  than  $D_i$ , then  $C_j|\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \equiv 0$ .  
Hence:

$$C_j|\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1}\sigma_i, \dots, \sigma_k \equiv 0$$

- 5 If  $i < k$  then  $D|\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1}\sigma_i \equiv 1$  while  $D|\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{k-1}\sigma_k \neq 0$ . Thus in either case:

$$D_i|\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1}\sigma_i \dots \sigma_k \neq 0$$

- 6 We know  $D_i$  — this is the first term in  $F$  not set 0 by the restriction  $\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1}\sigma_i \dots \sigma_k$ .



## Bijection: proof

- 7 Using  $D_i$  and  $G_2(\rho)$  we can find  $\sigma_i$ .
- 8 We know indices of the vertices in  $V(\sigma_i)$ .
- 9 Every pair in  $\pi_i$  contains at least one vertex in  $V(\sigma_i)$ , hence for every such pair we can find the vertex with lower index.
- 10 Using  $G_3(\rho)$  we can find  $\pi_i$ .
- 11 By replacing  $\sigma_i$  by  $\pi_i$  we can find restriction  
 $\rho\pi_1 \dots \pi_i\sigma_{i+1} \dots \sigma_k$ .
- 12 Having found all of  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ , we can find  $\rho$  by removing all of the pairs in  $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k$  from  $\rho\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k$ .

# Switching lemma

## Theorem

Let  $F$  be an  $r$ -disjunction over  $D \cup R$ ,  $|D| = n + 1$ ,  $|R| = n$ .  
Let  $\ell \geq 10$ . If  $r \leq \ell$  and  $\ell^4/n \leq 1/10$  then:

$$\frac{|Bad_n^\ell(F, 2s)|}{|M_n^\ell|} \leq (11r\ell^4/n)^s.$$

## Proof

By the previous theorem we should bound the ratio:

$$\frac{\bigcup_{s \leq j \leq 2s} M_n^{\ell-j} \times \text{Code}(r, j) \times [2\ell + 1]^s}{|M_n^\ell|}$$

And we know, that:

$$\frac{|M_n^{\ell-j}|}{|M_n^\ell|} \leq \left( \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{n-\ell} \right)^j$$

Using this and the estimate  $|\text{Code}(r, j)| \leq (2r)^j$  we can bound our ratio by the sum:

$$\sum_{s \leq j \leq 2s} \left( \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{n-\ell} \right)^j (2r)^j (2\ell+1)^{2s} = (2\ell+1)^{2s} \sum_{s \leq j \leq 2s} \left( \frac{2\ell(\ell+1)r}{n-\ell} \right)^j$$

## Proof

Using the inequalities  $r \leq \ell$ ,  $\ell^4/n \leq 1/10$  and  $\ell \geq 10$ , we can prove that:

$$\frac{2\ell(\ell+1)r}{n-\ell} < 0.0221.$$

So the geometrical progression which we have is less than 1.03 times its largest term. This provides the estimate:

$$\frac{|Bad_n^\ell(F, 2s)|}{|M_n^\ell|} \leq 1.03 \left( \frac{2(2\ell+1)^2\ell(\ell+1)r}{n-\ell} \right)^s$$

Now we can estimate the right side:

$$\left( \frac{2(2\ell+1)^2\ell(\ell+1)r}{n-\ell} \right) \leq \frac{10.65\ell^4 r}{n}$$

This inequality yields the bound:

$$\frac{|Bad_n^\ell(F, 2s)|}{|M_n^\ell|} \leq 1.03(10.65r\ell^4/n)^s < (11r\ell^4/n)^s.$$

This completes the proof of this fact.